

ADVOCACY FACT SHEET

Ethical Concerns in British Columbia's Mental Health Care System

Coercion, oversight gaps, and human rights under the BC Mental Health Act

Overview

British Columbia's **Mental Health Act** grants broad powers for involuntary detention and treatment. While intended to protect people in crisis, independent oversight bodies, legal advocates, and the United Nations have repeatedly flagged serious ethical, human rights, and systemic problems. Critics describe it as one of Canada's most regressive frameworks — citing weak safeguards, heavy reliance on coercion, and insufficient oversight.

KEY FACT

Involuntary admissions rose roughly **71%** between 2005/06 and 2016/17, while voluntary admissions stagnated or declined relative to population growth. BC now has the **highest rate of hospitalization** due to mental illness and substance use in Canada.

Core Ethical Issues

1 Excessive Physician Power and “Deemed Consent”

- A single physician or nurse practitioner can initiate involuntary admission and treatment. Involuntary patients have **no right to refuse** psychiatric medication, ECT, or other interventions — even when they are mentally capable.
- The system runs on a “**deemed consent**” model: the state or physician decides, overriding patient autonomy. This is broader than in most other Canadian provinces.
- Concentrated power with minimal immediate checks creates risk of abuse, bias, or overreach. Review panels exist but can be delayed up to **14 days**, and patients report barriers to accessing them.

2 Lack of Oversight and Non-Compliance with Safeguards

- The 2019 BC Ombudsperson report **Committed to Change** found widespread non-compliance with basic legal requirements — incomplete detention forms, missing rights notifications, and boilerplate or rubber-stamped language.
- By the 2022 update, only **eight of 24 recommendations** had been fully implemented; the 2026 review found significant gaps in documentation and rights protections still persist across health authorities.

- There are no strict limits on restraints, seclusion, or “discipline” under Section 32. The Representative for Children and Youth called unregulated restraint of youth “unacceptable.”

3 Human Rights Concerns — UN and Domestic

- The **UN Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities** (2019) criticized BC’s Act for broad involuntary criteria and forced treatment without free and informed consent — conflicting with the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (Articles 14 & 25).
- The **Community Legal Assistance Society** (2017) concluded BC operates in “darkness” with outdated laws, making it the most regressive jurisdiction in Canada for detention and involuntary psychiatric treatment.

4 Disproportionate Impact on Marginalized Groups

- **Indigenous Peoples** are overrepresented in detentions, linked to systemic barriers, colonialism, and intergenerational trauma, alongside higher rates of mental health challenges and suicide in some communities.
- **Queer / 2SLGBTQ+ individuals** face stigma, a lack of culturally safe care, and higher baseline mental health risks. Intersection with Indigenous identity compounds vulnerability.
- The system can be used for **social control** — homelessness, substance use, family disputes — rather than genuine mental health treatment.

5 Broader Systemic Failures

- Inadequate community-based, voluntary, and trauma-informed supports. Long wait times and poor rural access push reliance on coercion.
- High use of ECT and intersections with MAiD pathways raise alarms about consent under duress.
- Potential for misuse against advocates or those challenging authority — protesting detention can be reframed as “worsening symptoms.”

Risks of Abuse Within an Unaccountable System

STRUCTURAL RISK

Individual criminal abuse is investigated by the College of Physicians and Surgeons or police. The systemic critique is different — it concerns the structure that surrounds those cases:

- Unchecked authority can enable narcissistic or power-oriented individuals in positions of control.
- Weak independent oversight allows poor practices to persist unchallenged.
- Patient testimonies describe trauma, loss of dignity, and eroded trust — outcomes that can worsen mental health over the long term.

IMPORTANT CONTEXT

Most doctors and staff aim to help. The core ethical failure is **structural** — extraordinary powers paired with insufficient accountability.

Calls for Reform — What Real Change Looks Like

WHAT REFORM LOOKS LIKE

An independent, full review of the **Mental Health Act** with meaningful input from people with lived experience.

A decisive shift toward voluntary, community-based, culturally safe, trauma-informed care — investing in prevention and early support instead of coercion.

Stronger oversight, expanded patient rights including supported decision-making, and firm limits on restraints, seclusion, and forced treatment.

Better data collection on outcomes, disparities (especially Indigenous and 2SLGBTQ+), compliance rates, and long-term impacts of involuntary treatment.

References

APA 7th edition. All sources are publicly available or peer-reviewed.

- Devandas Aguilar, C. (2019, April 12). *End of mission statement by the United Nations Special Rapporteur on the rights of persons with disabilities on her visit to Canada*. United Nations Human Rights, Office of the High Commissioner.
<https://www.ohchr.org/en/statements/2019/04/end-mission-statement-united-nations-special-rapporteur-rights-persons>
- Health Justice. (n.d.). *Fast facts on the Mental Health Act and involuntary treatment*.
<https://www.healthjustice.ca/fast-facts-mha>
- Johnston, L. (2017). *Operating in darkness: BC's Mental Health Act detention system*. Community Legal Assistance Society. <https://clasbc.net/operating-in-darkness-bcs-mental-health-act-detention-system/>
- Kolar, M., Varcoe, C., Brown, H., & Einboden, R. (2023). Involuntary psychiatric treatment and the erosion of consent: A critical discourse analysis of mental health legislation in British Columbia, Canada. *Health: An Interdisciplinary Journal for the Social Study of Health, Illness and Medicine*, 27(6), 1076–1095.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/13634593221096241>
- Office of the Ombudsperson. (2019). *Committed to change: Protecting the rights of involuntary patients under the Mental Health Act* (Special Report No. 42). Province of British Columbia.
https://bcombudsperson.ca/investigative_report/committed-to-change-protecting-the-rights-of-involuntary-patients-under-the-mental-health-act/
- Office of the Ombudsperson. (2022). *Committed to change: Report update*. Province of British Columbia.
https://bcombudsperson.ca/investigative_report/committed-to-change-protecting-the-rights-of-involuntary-patients-under-the-mental-health-act/
- Office of the Ombudsperson. (2026). *Committed to change: 2026 report update*. Province of British Columbia.
https://bcombudsperson.ca/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/OMB_Committed-to-Change_Report_Update_2026-WEB.pdf
- Snow, M. E., Banjo, J., Salmon, A., Varcoe, C., & Morrow, M. (2025). *“Backed into a corner”: Lived experiences of receiving and providing involuntary psychiatric treatment under British Columbia's Mental Health Act* [Preprint]. medRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.01.25332770>